

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,036		12/07/2001	Matthew S. Grob	PA661C1	6964
23696	7590	08/12/2004		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm		rated	BHATTACHARYA, SAM		
Patents Dep 5775 Moreh		ve	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego,	CA 9212	21-1714	2685		
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/020,036	GROB ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Sam Bhattacharya	2685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13,15,17-21,23 and 25-27</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8,14,16,22 and 24</u> is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9-13,15,17-21,23 and 25-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 8,14,16,22 and 24 is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 9 recite the limitation "the direction message" in lines 1 and 3, respectively.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-13, 15, 17-21, 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Padovani et al. (US 6,151,502).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37

Art Unit: 2685

CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 10-12, 17, 18, 20, 25 and 26, Padovani et al. discloses an apparatus for a soft handoff, including a plurality of base stations 4A and 4B that transmit respective first and second pilot channels and that provide sectorized cell coverage, and a mobile station 2 configured to measure the power of the pilot channels transmitted by the base stations, identify each base station and corresponding pilot channel whose measured signal power is greater than a threshold, place indicators of the identified base station and corresponding pilot channel in a first set (the active set), transmit the indicators from the first set, and establish a traffic channel to at least one of the identified base stations not in communication with the mobile station via a traffic channel. See FIGS. 1-3, col. 5, lines 63-66, col. 6, lines 11-65 and col. 8, lines 5-51.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 13, 19, 21 and 27, Padovani et al. discloses determining indicators of the identified base station and pilot channel not included in the first set, placing the determined indicators in the first set as the revised active set and transmitting the determined indicators. See col. 8, lines 43-47.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 15 and 23, Padovani et al. discloses receiving transmission of indicators by the base station and sending a direction message to the mobile station if the base station is identified by the indicators. See col. 8, lines 10-22.

Regarding claim 7, Padovani et al. discloses that the direction message is a handoff direction message that inherently identifies a neighboring base station.

Art Unit: 2685

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 8, 14, 16, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to disclose a combination of elements in an apparatus for soft handoff including adjusting the indicators in the first set in accordance with the direction message received by the mobile station, as required by claim 8, 16 and 24; using the indicators in the active set to search for the direction message, as required by claim 9, 14, 22.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gilhousen et al. (US 6,157,668) discloses a method for reducing the transmit power of a base station in which a mobile station transmits a candidate set and active set signal strength indication to the base station.

Art Unit: 2685

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 18, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,360,100. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent disclose measuring power received from a base station at a mobile station, identifying a base station whose power is greater than a threshold, placing indicators of the identified base station in a first set, transmitting the indicators and establishing a traffic channel to a base station not in communication with the mobile station (as in claim 1); sending a direction message if the base station is identified by the indicators (as in claim 5); receving a direction message (as in claim 6); adjusting indicators according to the direction message (as in claim 8); using indicators to search for the direction message (as in claim 9); and providing sectorized cell coverage by the base station (as in claim 11).

Art Unit: 2685

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (703) 605-1171. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sb

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
12771016GY CENTER 2600

Page 6